Integrative Structure Validation Report • June 12, 2025 - 07:16 AM PDT

The following software was used in the production of this report:

Integrative Modeling Validation Version 2.1 Python-IHM Version 2.5 MolProbity Version 4.5.2

PDB ID	9A8G
PDB-Dev ID	PDBDEV_00000380
Structure Title	Structural Basis for Mis18 Complex Assembly
Structure Authors	Thamkachy, R.; Medina-Pritchard, B.; Park, S.H.; Chiodi, C.G.; Zou, J.; de la Torre-Barranco, M.; Shimanaka, K.; Abad, M.A.; Paramo, C.G.; Feederle, R.; Ruksenaite, E.; Heun, P.; Davies, O.R.; Rappsilber, J.; Schneidman-Duhovny, D; Cho, U.; Jeyaprakash, A.A.
Deposited on	2024-04-26

This is a PDB-IHM IM Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB-IHM entry.

We welcome your comments at helpdesk@pdb-ihm.org

A user guide is available at https://pdb-ihm.org/validation_help.html with specific help available everywhere you see the ?? symbol.

List of references used to build this report is available here.

Overall quality ?

This validation report contains model quality assessments for all structures, data quality and fit to model assessments for SAS and crosslinking-MS datasets. Data quality and fit to model assessments for other datasets and model uncertainty are under development. Number of plots is limited to 256.

Model Quality: MolProbity Analysis

2 of 22





This entry consists of 0 distinct ensemble(s).



This entry consists of 1 model(s). A total of 9 datasets were used to build this entry.

Representation ?

This entry has 1 representation(s).

ID	Model(s)	Entity ID	Molecule name	Chain(s) [auth]	Total residues	Rigid segments	Flexible segments	Model coverage/ Starting model coverage (%)	Scale
1	1	1	Protein Mis18-	А	233	-	1-76, 77-190,	100.00 /	Atomic
			alpha	D			191-233	100.00	
				Е					
				F					
		2	Protein Mis18-	В	229	-	1-187, 188-229	100.00 /	Atomic
			beta	G				100.00	
		3	3 Mis18-binding	С	130	-	1-130	100.00 /	Atomic
			protein 1	Н				100.00	

There ar	Datasets used for modeling (?) There are 9 unique datasets used to build the models in this entry.									
ID	ID Dataset type Database name Data access code									
2 3DEM volume EMDB EMD-50218										

ID	Dataset type	Database name	Data access code	
6	3DEM volume	Not available	Not available	
7	3DEM volume	Not available	Not available	
1	Crosslinking-MS data	PRIDE	PXD047345	
3	Experimental model	PDB	7sfz	
4	Experimental model	PDB	7sfy	
5	De Novo model	AlphaFoldDB	AF-Q9NYP9-F1	
8	De Novo model	AlphaFoldDB	AF-O43482-F1	
9	De Novo model	AlphaFoldDB	AF-Q6P0N0-F1	

Methodology and software

This entry is a result of 2 distinct protocol(s).

Step	Protocol	Method	Method	Method	Number of computed	Multi state	Multi scale
number	ID	name	type	description	models	modeling	modeling
1	1	docking	Not available	Not available	Not available	False	False

There are 2 software packages reported in this entry.

ID	Software name	Software version	Software classification	Software location
1	AlphaFold-Multimer	2.20	model building	https://github.com/google-deepmind/alphafold
2	CombDock	Not available	assembly	http://bioinfo3d.cs.tau.ac.il/CombDock/download/

Data quality ?

Crosslinking-MS

At the moment, data validation is only available for crosslinking-MS data deposited as a fully compliant dataset in the PRIDE Crosslinking database. Correspondence between crosslinking-MS and entry entities is established using pyHMMER. Only residue pairs that passed the reported threshold are used for the analysis. The values in the report have to be interpreted in the context of the experiment (i.e. only a minor fraction of in-situ or in-vivo dataset can be used for modeling).

Crosslinking-MS dataset is not available in the PRIDE Crosslinking database.

<u>3DEM</u>

This section describes quality of the 3DEM datasets

3DEM dataset (EMDB)	EMD-50218					
Resolution:	27.30 Å					
Recommended level:	0.600					
Estimated volume:	775.95 nm ³					
Ma	ap projections					
Ortho	gonal projection 🕐					
Only data for the representative model is shown Only	data for the representative model is shown					
XYZ						
Orthogonal standard-deviation projections (false-colour)						
Only data for the representative model is shown						
Outh a concil surface	XYZ					
<u>Orthogonal surface</u>	views (at contour level, 0.000					
Inly data for the representative model is shown						
	8					
N	(Ian analysis C					
\underline{N}						



The map-value distribution is plotted in 128 intervals along the x-axis. The y-axis is logarithmic. A spike in this graph at zero usually indicates that the volume has been masked.

Volume estimate ?



recommended contour level is 775.95 nm³. The volume estimate graph shows how the enclosed volume varies with the contour level. The recommended contour level is shown as a vertical line and the intersection between the line and the curve gives the volume of the enclosed surface at the given leve

Rotationally averaged power spectrum ?



Fourier-Shell Correlation (FSC) is the most commonly used method to estimate the resolution of single-parttiiie and subtomogram-averaged maps. The shape of the curve depends on the imposed symmetry, mmaaaa and whether or not the two 3D reconstructions used were processed from a common referrer. The reported resolution is shown as a black line. A curve is displayed for the half-bit crriiiirion in addition to lines showing the 0.143 gold standard cut-off and 0.5 cut-off.



Model quality ?

For models with atomic structures, MolProbity analysis is performed. For models with coarse-grained or multi-scale structures, excluded volume analysis is performed.

Standard geometry: bond outliers ?

There are 98 bond length outliers in this entry (1.05% of 9302 assessed bonds). A summary is provided below.

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
D	58	ASP	C-N	27.77	0.94	1.33	1	1
А	58	ASP	C-N	27.75	0.94	1.33	1	1
Е	76	ALA	C-N	17.05	1.09	1.33	1	1
F	76	ALA	C-N	17.02	1.09	1.33	1	1
D	63	ASP	C-N	15.47	1.11	1.33	1	1
А	63	ASP	C-N	15.45	1.11	1.33	1	1
А	54	SER	C-N	15.04	1.12	1.33	1	1

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	$ \mathbf{Z} $	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
D	54	SER	C-N	14.98	1.12	1.33	1	1
А	67	ALA	C-N	14.61	1.12	1.33	1	1
D	67	ALA	C-N	14.57	1.13	1.33	1	1
А	62	ALA	C-N	14.10	1.13	1.33	1	1
D	62	ALA	C-N	14.03	1.13	1.33	1	1
D	71	GLU	C-N	12.59	1.15	1.33	1	1
А	71	GLU	C-N	12.54	1.15	1.33	1	1
А	70	GLU	C-N	12.32	1.16	1.33	1	1
D	70	GLU	C-N	12.29	1.16	1.33	1	1
F	55	MET	C-N	12.26	1.50	1.33	1	1
А	34	ARG	C-N	11.71	1.17	1.33	1	1
D	34	ARG	C-N	11.64	1.17	1.33	1	1
А	66	ARG	C-N	10.73	1.18	1.33	1	1
D	66	ARG	C-N	10.66	1.18	1.33	1	1
D	44	LEU	C-N	10.53	1.48	1.33	1	1
А	44	LEU	C-N	10.52	1.48	1.33	1	1
Е	61	VAL	C-N	10.38	1.18	1.33	1	1
F	61	VAL	C-N	10.36	1.18	1.33	1	1
А	39	SER	C-N	10.28	1.19	1.33	1	1
D	65	GLU	C-N	10.24	1.19	1.33	1	1
А	65	GLU	C-N	10.19	1.19	1.33	1	1
D	52	TRP	C-N	10.18	1.19	1.33	1	1
D	39	SER	C-N	10.14	1.19	1.33	1	1
А	52	TRP	C-N	10.14	1.19	1.33	1	1
А	57	GLU	C-N	9.65	1.46	1.33	1	1
D	57	GLU	C-N	9.63	1.46	1.33	1	1
А	74	ALA	C-N	8.99	1.20	1.33	1	1
D	74	ALA	C-N	8.98	1.20	1.33	1	1
D	53	SER	C-N	8.84	1.21	1.33	1	1
А	53	SER	C-N	8.84	1.21	1.33	1	1
F	37	GLU	C-N	8.74	1.45	1.33	1	1
Е	37	GLU	C-N	8.70	1.45	1.33	1	1
F	67	ALA	C-N	8.29	1.21	1.33	1	1
Е	67	ALA	C-N	8.29	1.21	1.33	1	1
F	65	GLU	C-N	7.97	1.22	1.33	1	1

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
Е	65	GLU	C-N	7.96	1.22	1.33	1	1
D	37	GLU	C-N	7.78	1.22	1.33	1	1
А	37	GLU	C-N	7.77	1.22	1.33	1	1
Е	64	MET	C-N	7.65	1.22	1.33	1	1
F	64	MET	C-N	7.63	1.22	1.33	1	1
Е	47	LYS	C-N	7.62	1.22	1.33	1	1
F	47	LYS	C-N	7.61	1.22	1.33	1	1
Е	58	ASP	C-N	7.40	1.23	1.33	1	1
F	58	ASP	C-N	7.38	1.23	1.33	1	1
F	44	LEU	C-N	6.86	1.42	1.33	1	1
Е	44	LEU	C-N	6.81	1.42	1.33	1	1
F	33	LYS	C-N	6.69	1.42	1.33	1	1
Е	33	LYS	C-N	6.63	1.42	1.33	1	1
Е	73	ALA	C-N	6.61	1.42	1.33	1	1
F	73	ALA	C-N	6.53	1.42	1.33	1	1
Е	75	ALA	C-N	6.49	1.42	1.33	1	1
F	75	ALA	C-N	6.45	1.42	1.33	1	1
А	33	LYS	C-N	6.45	1.24	1.33	1	1
D	46	GLN	C-N	6.37	1.42	1.33	1	1
D	33	LYS	C-N	6.36	1.24	1.33	1	1
Е	68	GLN	C-N	6.29	1.24	1.33	1	1
F	68	GLN	C-N	6.29	1.24	1.33	1	1
F	48	TRP	C-N	6.27	1.42	1.33	1	1
А	46	GLN	C-N	6.26	1.42	1.33	1	1
Е	48	TRP	C-N	6.20	1.42	1.33	1	1
D	69	LEU	C-N	6.20	1.24	1.33	1	1
А	69	LEU	C-N	6.15	1.24	1.33	1	1
D	68	GLN	C-N	5.93	1.25	1.33	1	1
А	68	GLN	C-N	5.90	1.25	1.33	1	1
D	47	LYS	C-N	5.90	1.25	1.33	1	1
А	47	LYS	C-N	5.88	1.25	1.33	1	1
F	30	LEU	C-N	5.86	1.41	1.33	1	1
А	59	ALA	C-N	5.81	1.25	1.33	1	1
Е	63	ASP	C-N	5.78	1.25	1.33	1	1
F	63	ASP	C-N	5.76	1.25	1.33	1	1

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	$ \mathbf{Z} $	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
D	59	ALA	C-N	5.74	1.25	1.33	1	1
Е	30	LEU	C-N	5.71	1.41	1.33	1	1
А	64	MET	C-N	5.63	1.25	1.33	1	1
D	64	MET	C-N	5.53	1.25	1.33	1	1
D	56	SER	C-N	5.44	1.41	1.33	1	1
А	56	SER	C-N	5.39	1.40	1.33	1	1
D	76	ALA	C-N	5.27	1.26	1.33	1	1
А	76	ALA	C-N	5.25	1.26	1.33	1	1
Е	74	ALA	C-N	5.22	1.40	1.33	1	1
F	74	ALA	C-N	5.20	1.40	1.33	1	1
А	35	LEU	C-N	5.08	1.40	1.33	1	1
D	35	LEU	C-N	5.07	1.40	1.33	1	1
Е	57	GLU	C-N	4.72	1.26	1.33	1	1
F	57	GLU	C-N	4.66	1.26	1.33	1	1
Е	34	ARG	C-N	4.61	1.39	1.33	1	1
F	34	ARG	C-N	4.59	1.39	1.33	1	1
Е	60	SER	C-N	4.52	1.27	1.33	1	1
F	60	SER	C-N	4.48	1.27	1.33	1	1
D	51	MET	C-N	4.23	1.27	1.33	1	1
А	51	MET	C-N	4.19	1.27	1.33	1	1
D	31	LEU	C-N	4.03	1.27	1.33	1	1

Standard geometry: angle outliers ?

There are 136 bond angle outliers in this entry (1.09% of 12494 assessed bonds). A summary is provided below. The output is limited to 100 rows.

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
F	55	MET	O-C-N	48.86	44.82	123.00	1	1
F	55	MET	CA-C-N	23.48	163.17	116.20	1	1
С	51	SER	CA-C-O	14.25	163.74	121.00	1	1
С	51	SER	CA-C-OXT	12.83	82.52	121.00	1	1
С	51	SER	O-C-OXT	12.25	81.24	118.00	1	1
D	56	SER	O-C-N	11.85	104.04	123.00	1	1
А	56	SER	O-C-N	11.83	104.06	123.00	1	1
А	54	SER	O-C-N	11.10	105.25	123.00	1	1
D	54	SER	O-C-N	11.07	105.28	123.00	1	1

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
D	59	ALA	O-C-N	10.56	106.10	123.00	1	1
А	59	ALA	O-C-N	10.55	106.12	123.00	1	1
А	30	LEU	C-N-CA	9.91	139.54	121.70	1	1
D	30	LEU	C-N-CA	9.90	139.51	121.70	1	1
D	55	MET	O-C-N	9.41	107.95	123.00	1	1
А	55	MET	O-C-N	9.38	107.99	123.00	1	1
А	57	GLU	C-N-CA	9.04	137.97	121.70	1	1
D	57	GLU	C-N-CA	9.00	137.89	121.70	1	1
А	56	SER	CA-C-N	8.89	133.98	116.20	1	1
D	56	SER	CA-C-N	8.88	133.96	116.20	1	1
Е	73	ALA	O-C-N	8.82	137.11	123.00	1	1
F	73	ALA	O-C-N	8.79	137.06	123.00	1	1
А	58	ASP	O-C-N	8.27	109.78	123.00	1	1
D	58	ASP	O-C-N	8.26	109.78	123.00	1	1
А	43	GLN	C-N-CA	8.00	107.30	121.70	1	1
D	43	GLN	C-N-CA	7.96	107.37	121.70	1	1
Е	73	ALA	C-N-CA	7.79	107.68	121.70	1	1
F	73	ALA	C-N-CA	7.76	107.73	121.70	1	1
D	53	SER	O-C-N	7.59	110.86	123.00	1	1
А	53	SER	O-C-N	7.58	110.87	123.00	1	1
D	52	TRP	O-C-N	7.45	111.07	123.00	1	1
А	52	TRP	O-C-N	7.45	111.08	123.00	1	1
D	55	MET	CA-C-N	7.27	130.74	116.20	1	1
А	55	MET	CA-C-N	7.26	130.72	116.20	1	1
Е	73	ALA	CA-C-N	7.10	101.99	116.20	1	1
F	73	ALA	CA-C-N	7.10	102.00	116.20	1	1
А	57	GLU	O-C-N	6.97	111.85	123.00	1	1
D	57	GLU	O-C-N	6.92	111.93	123.00	1	1
А	54	SER	CA-C-N	6.78	129.75	116.20	1	1
D	54	SER	CA-C-N	6.75	129.71	116.20	1	1
А	76	ALA	C-N-CA	6.25	110.46	121.70	1	1
А	37	GLU	CA-C-N	6.24	103.72	116.20	1	1
D	37	GLU	CA-C-N	6.24	103.72	116.20	1	1
D	76	ALA	C-N-CA	6.24	110.47	121.70	1	1
F	55	MET	C-N-CA	6.23	132.91	121.70	1	1

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
Е	63	ASP	C-N-CA	6.11	110.69	121.70	1	1
F	63	ASP	C-N-CA	6.09	110.74	121.70	1	1
А	33	LYS	C-N-CA	6.08	132.65	121.70	1	1
D	33	LYS	C-N-CA	6.08	132.64	121.70	1	1
D	58	ASP	CA-C-N	6.02	128.25	116.20	1	1
А	58	ASP	CA-C-N	6.00	128.20	116.20	1	1
D	37	GLU	O-C-N	6.00	132.60	123.00	1	1
А	37	GLU	O-C-N	6.00	132.59	123.00	1	1
D	59	ALA	CA-C-N	5.92	128.04	116.20	1	1
А	59	ALA	CA-C-N	5.92	128.04	116.20	1	1
D	38	ASP	C-N-CA	5.88	111.11	121.70	1	1
А	38	ASP	C-N-CA	5.85	111.17	121.70	1	1
А	52	TRP	CA-C-N	5.70	127.60	116.20	1	1
D	52	TRP	CA-C-N	5.69	127.57	116.20	1	1
А	62	ALA	O-C-N	5.48	114.23	123.00	1	1
D	62	ALA	O-C-N	5.48	114.23	123.00	1	1
Н	49	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	5.47	117.13	122.60	1	1
Е	55	MET	C-N-CA	5.44	111.91	121.70	1	1
D	76	ALA	O-C-N	5.43	114.31	123.00	1	1
А	76	ALA	O-C-N	5.40	114.37	123.00	1	1
Е	70	GLU	O-C-N	5.34	131.54	123.00	1	1
F	70	GLU	O-C-N	5.33	131.53	123.00	1	1
D	60	SER	O-C-N	5.21	114.66	123.00	1	1
F	63	ASP	O-C-N	5.20	131.32	123.00	1	1
А	60	SER	O-C-N	5.20	114.68	123.00	1	1
Е	63	ASP	O-C-N	5.19	131.31	123.00	1	1
D	40	SER	O-C-N	5.15	131.24	123.00	1	1
А	53	SER	CA-C-N	5.15	126.49	116.20	1	1
D	53	SER	CA-C-N	5.14	126.47	116.20	1	1
Е	66	ARG	C-N-CA	5.12	112.48	121.70	1	1
F	66	ARG	C-N-CA	5.09	112.55	121.70	1	1
F	70	GLU	C-N-CA	5.08	112.56	121.70	1	1
А	40	SER	O-C-N	5.07	131.11	123.00	1	1
Е	70	GLU	C-N-CA	5.07	112.58	121.70	1	1
А	68	GLN	C-N-CA	5.04	112.63	121.70	1	1

Chain	Res	Туре	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
D	68	GLN	C-N-CA	5.03	112.65	121.70	1	1
G	188	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	4.98	117.62	122.60	1	1
D	52	TRP	C-N-CA	4.97	130.65	121.70	1	1
А	52	TRP	C-N-CA	4.96	130.64	121.70	1	1
С	49	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	4.90	117.70	122.60	1	1
С	129	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	4.86	117.74	122.60	1	1
С	127	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	4.78	117.82	122.60	1	1
D	56	SER	C-N-CA	4.76	130.28	121.70	1	1
F	30	LEU	C-N-CA	4.75	130.25	121.70	1	1
Е	30	LEU	C-N-CA	4.75	130.25	121.70	1	1
А	56	SER	C-N-CA	4.74	130.23	121.70	1	1
Н	129	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	4.73	117.87	122.60	1	1
А	35	LEU	C-N-CA	4.70	113.23	121.70	1	1
Е	66	ARG	O-C-N	4.69	130.51	123.00	1	1
Н	127	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	4.69	117.91	122.60	1	1
D	35	LEU	C-N-CA	4.67	113.29	121.70	1	1
F	66	ARG	O-C-N	4.65	130.44	123.00	1	1
D	40	SER	CA-C-N	4.61	106.97	116.20	1	1
G	71	GLN	OE1-CD-NE2	4.58	118.02	122.60	1	1
А	40	SER	CA-C-N	4.57	107.05	116.20	1	1
А	38	ASP	CA-C-N	4.52	107.17	116.20	1	1

Too-close contacts ?

The following all-atom clashscore is based on a MolProbity analysis. All-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The table below contains clashscores for all atomic models in this entry.

Model ID	Clash score	Number of clashes		
1	49.39	905		

There are 905 clashes. The table below contains the detailed list of all clashes based on a MolProbity analysis. Bad clashes are $\geq = 0.4$ Angstrom. The output is limited to 100 rows.

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
D:215:LEU:HD21	F:52:TRP:CZ3	1.69	1	1
E:48:TRP:CE2	E:211:VAL:HG13	1.65	1	1
D:193:PHE:CD2	F:44:LEU:HB2	1.64	1	1
E:191:GLU:HB3	G:105:VAL:CG1	1.63	1	1
F:51:MET:HE3	F:211:VAL:CA	1.62	1	1

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
B:95:TRP:CE3	F:198:ARG:CD	1.61	1	1
A:211:VAL:HG13	E:48:TRP:CH2	1.60	1	1
B:95:TRP:CZ3	F:198:ARG:CD	1.59	1	1
F:59:ALA:HB1	F:203:LYS:CG	1.58	1	1
D:215:LEU:CD2	F:52:TRP:CH2	1.58	1	1
D:215:LEU:CD2	F:52:TRP:CZ3	1.57	1	1
B:105:VAL:CG1	F:191:GLU:HB3	1.56	1	1
E:77:GLU:HG3	G:162:PHE:CZ	1.56	1	1
D:211:VAL:CG2	F:48:TRP:CZ3	1.55	1	1
E:191:GLU:CD	G:105:VAL:HG21	1.55	1	1
E:77:GLU:CG	G:162:PHE:CZ	1.55	1	1
B:105:VAL:HG21	F:191:GLU:CD	1.53	1	1
F:59:ALA:CB	F:203:LYS:HG3	1.52	1	1
E:62:ALA:CB	E:203:LYS:HD2	1.51	1	1
A:48:TRP:HH2	G:214:MET:SD	1.51	1	1
F:51:MET:CE	F:211:VAL:HA	1.51	1	1
E:62:ALA:HB3	E:203:LYS:CD	1.49	1	1
E:191:GLU:CG	G:163:CYS:SG	1.47	1	1
D:215:LEU:HD23	F:52:TRP:CH2	1.47	1	1
A:211:VAL:CG1	E:48:TRP:CH2	1.46	1	1
E:191:GLU:HG3	G:163:CYS:SG	1.45	1	1
E:48:TRP:NE1	E:211:VAL:HG13	1.45	1	1
A:48:TRP:CH2	G:214:MET:SD	1.43	1	1
B:105:VAL:CG2	F:191:GLU:CD	1.43	1	1
B:95:TRP:CD2	F:198:ARG:HD3	1.42	1	1
D:211:VAL:HG22	F:48:TRP:CZ3	1.42	1	1
B:163:CYS:SG	F:191:GLU:CG	1.41	1	1
F:48:TRP:CE2	F:211:VAL:CG1	1.41	1	1
B:163:CYS:SG	F:191:GLU:HG3	1.41	1	1
F:48:TRP:NE1	F:211:VAL:HG13	1.40	1	1
E:191:GLU:CD	G:105:VAL:CG2	1.40	1	1
D:77:GLU:OE2	D:79:ARG:CD	1.40	1	1
B:95:TRP:CE3	F:198:ARG:HD3	1.40	1	1
B:95:TRP:CZ3	F:198:ARG:HD3	1.39	1	1
E:48:TRP:CZ2	E:211:VAL:CG1	1.39	1	1

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
F:54:SER:CA	F:57:GLU:HB3	1.39	1	1
D:193:PHE:CD2	F:44:LEU:CB	1.39	1	1
F:59:ALA:CB	F:203:LYS:CG	1.37	1	1
F:59:ALA:O	F:63:ASP:HB2	1.36	1	1
D:194:ASN:CB	F:37:GLU:HB3	1.34	1	1
F:48:TRP:CE2	F:211:VAL:HG13	1.34	1	1
D:207:GLN:OE1	F:41:ARG:NH1	1.33	1	1
E:54:SER:O	E:58:ASP:N	1.33	1	1
E:77:GLU:HG3	G:162:PHE:CE1	1.32	1	1
E:52:TRP:CE3	E:211:VAL:HG21	1.31	1	1
D:193:PHE:HE2	F:44:LEU:C	1.31	1	1
D:193:PHE:CE2	F:45:LEU:N	1.31	1	1
E:48:TRP:CE2	E:211:VAL:CG1	1.31	1	1
F:51:MET:CE	F:211:VAL:CA	1.30	1	1
F:55:MET:CA	F:58:ASP:HB2	1.30	1	1
E:62:ALA:N	E:203:LYS:HZ2	1.30	1	1
A:38:ASP:O	A:42:HIS:ND1	1.30	1	1
E:63:ASP:H	E:203:LYS:NZ	1.29	1	1
E:191:GLU:HA	G:158:LEU:CD2	1.29	1	1
E:53:SER:O	E:57:GLU:N	1.29	1	1
F:48:TRP:NE1	F:211:VAL:CG1	1.29	1	1
F:51:MET:HE3	F:211:VAL:N	1.29	1	1
E:59:ALA:O	E:63:ASP:HB2	1.28	1	1
B:95:TRP:CE3	F:198:ARG:HD2	1.28	1	1
B:158:LEU:CD2	F:191:GLU:HA	1.28	1	1
D:193:PHE:HE2	F:45:LEU:N	1.27	1	1
F:56:SER:O	F:203:LYS:HE3	1.26	1	1
B:105:VAL:CG1	F:191:GLU:CB	1.26	1	1
A:52:TRP:CH2	G:218:SER:OG	1.26	1	1
D:194:ASN:ND2	F:38:ASP:N	1.25	1	1
E:191:GLU:OE1	G:105:VAL:CG2	1.25	1	1
B:95:TRP:CH2	F:198:ARG:HD3	1.25	1	1
D:38:ASP:O	D:42:HIS:ND1	1.24	1	1
A:211:VAL:CG1	E:48:TRP:CZ2	1.24	1	1
B:95:TRP:CE2	F:198:ARG:HD3	1.24	1	1

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
F:54:SER:HA	F:57:GLU:CB	1.22	1	1
A:36:SER:O	A:39:SER:OG	1.21	1	1
D:36:SER:O	D:39:SER:OG	1.21	1	1
D:194:ASN:HD21	F:38:ASP:CA	1.20	1	1
D:38:ASP:HB3	D:42:HIS:CE1	1.20	1	1
A:38:ASP:HB3	A:42:HIS:CE1	1.20	1	1
B:105:VAL:CG2	F:191:GLU:OE1	1.20	1	1
E:191:GLU:CG	G:158:LEU:HD13	1.19	1	1
A:38:ASP:O	A:70:GLU:OE2	1.19	1	1
A:211:VAL:HG13	E:48:TRP:CZ2	1.18	1	1
D:38:ASP:O	D:70:GLU:OE2	1.18	1	1
E:191:GLU:CB	G:105:VAL:CG1	1.18	1	1
B:158:LEU:HD13	F:191:GLU:CG	1.17	1	1
D:53:SER:CB	D:59:ALA:O	1.17	1	1
D:194:ASN:HD21	F:38:ASP:N	1.17	1	1
E:57:GLU:HA	E:60:SER:HB3	1.17	1	1
B:95:TRP:CZ2	F:198:ARG:HD3	1.17	1	1
A:53:SER:CB	A:59:ALA:O	1.16	1	1
E:59:ALA:CB	E:207:GLN:NE2	1.16	1	1
A:215:LEU:HD23	E:52:TRP:HH2	1.16	1	1
E:191:GLU:CA	G:158:LEU:HD22	1.16	1	1
E:59:ALA:HB3	E:207:GLN:HE22	1.14	1	1
B:95:TRP:CH2	F:198:ARG:CD	1.14	1	1
F:57:GLU:HA	F:60:SER:HB3	1.14	1	1
B:97:LEU:HD12	F:191:GLU:OE2	1.14	1	1

Torsion angles: Protein backbone ?

In the following table, Ramachandran outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed.

Model ID	Analysed	Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	1132	1094	31	7

There are 7 unique backbone outliers. Detailed list of outliers are tabulated below.

Chain	Res	Туре	Models (Total)
А	57	GLU	1
В	191	PRO	1

Chain	Res	Туре	Models (Total)
С	21	ARG	1
D	57	GLU	1
F	56	SER	1
G	191	PRO	1
Н	21	ARG	1

Torsion angles : Protein sidechains

In the following table, sidechain rotameric outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed.

Model ID Analysed		Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	1038	956	61	21

There are 21 unique sidechain outliers. Detailed list of outliers are tabulated below.

Chain	Res	Туре	Models (Total)		
А	30	LEU	1		
А	38	ASP	1		
В	101	LEU	1		
В	201	GLU	1		
В	214	MET	1		
В	218	SER	1		
С	24	LEU	1		
D	30	LEU	1		
D	38	ASP	1		
Е	30	LEU	1		
Е	56	SER	1		
E	64	MET	1		
F	30	LEU	1		
F	56	SER	1		
F	64	MET	1		
G	101	LEU	1		
G	187	ILE	1		
G	201	GLU	1		
G	214	MET	1		
G	218	SER	1		
Н	24	LEU	1		

Fit of model to data used for modeling

Fit of model(s) to crosslinking-MS data

Restraint types

Restraint types are summarized in the table below. Restraints assigned "by-residue" are interpreted as between CA atoms. Restraints between coarse-grained beads are indicated as "coarse-grained". Restraint group represents a set of crosslinking restraints applied collectively in the modeling.

There are 2336 crosslinking restraints combined in 231 restraint groups.

Linker	Residue 1	Atom 1	Residue 2	Atom 2	Restraint type	Distance, Å	Count
sulfo-SDA	GLU	СА	LYS	СА	upper bound	22.0	80
sulfo-SDA	LYS	СА	SER	СА	upper bound	22.0	16
sulfo-SDA	GLU	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	40
sulfo-SDA	HIS	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	12
sulfo-SDA	LYS	CA	THR	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
sulfo-SDA	HIS	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
sulfo-SDA	LEU	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	60
sulfo-SDA	LYS	CA	MET	CA	upper bound	22.0	8
sulfo-SDA	MET	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	4
sulfo-SDA	LYS	CA	VAL	CA	upper bound	22.0	48
sulfo-SDA	GLN	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
sulfo-SDA	ILE	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	20
sulfo-SDA	LYS	CA	PHE	CA	upper bound	22.0	8
sulfo-SDA	LYS	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	8
sulfo-SDA	GLN	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	8
sulfo-SDA	SER	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
sulfo-SDA	SER	CA	VAL	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
sulfo-SDA	ASP	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
sulfo-SDA	ASP	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	8
sulfo-SDA	ARG	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	32
sulfo-SDA	CYS	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	8
EDC	GLU	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	1264
EDC	ASP	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	208
EDC	ASP	СА	SER	СА	upper bound	22.0	56
EDC	ASP	CA	TYR	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
EDC	GLU	СА	SER	СА	upper bound	22.0	216
EDC	GLU	CA	THR	CA	upper bound	22.0	32

Linker	Residue 1	Atom 1	Residue 2	Atom 2	Restraint type	Distance, Å	Count
EDC	ASN	CA	LYS	CA	upper bound	22.0	36
EDC	LYS	СА	PRO	СА	upper bound 22.0		12
EDC	ARG	CA	SER	CA	upper bound	22.0	16
EDC	ASP	СА	THR	СА	upper bound	22.0	8
EDC	SER	СА	SER	СА	upper bound	22.0	16

Distograms of individual restraints

Restraints with identical thresholds are grouped into one plot. Only the best distance per restraint per model group/ensemble is plotted. Inter- and intramolecular (including self-links) restraints are also grouped into one plot. Distance for a restraint between coarsegrained beads is calculated as a minimal distance between shells; if beads intersect, the distance will be reported as 0.0. A bead with the highest available resolution for a given residue is used for the assessment.



Satisfaction of restraints

Satisfaction of restraints is calculated on a restraint group (a set of crosslinking restraints applied collectively in the modeling) level. Satisfaction of a restraint group depends on satisfaction of individual restraints in the group and the conditionality (all/any). A restraint group is considered satisfied, if the condition was met in at least one model of the model group/ensemble. The number of measured restraints can be smaller than the total number of restraint groups if crosslinks involve non-modeled residues. Only deposited models are used for validation right now.

State group	State	Model group	# of Deposited models/Total	Restraint group type	Satisfied (%)	Violated (%)	Count (Total=231)
1 1				All	82.05	17.95	117
	1	1/1	Heteromeric links/ Intermolecular	74.07	25.93	54	
				Self-links/ Ambiguous	88.89	11.11	63

Per-model satisfaction rates in ensembles

IM Structure Validation Report



Fit of model to data used for validation (?)

Validation for this section is under development.

<u>Acknowledgments</u>

The development of integrative model validation metrics, implementation of a model validation pipeline, and creation of a validation report for integrative structures are funded by NSF awards to the PDB-IHM team (DBI-1756248, DBI-2112966, DBI-2112967, DBI-2112968, and DBI-1756250) and awards from NSF, NIH, and DOE to the RCSB PDB (DBI-2321666, R01GM157729, and DE-SC0019749). The PDB-IHM team and members of the Sali lab contributed model validation metrics and software packages.

Dr. Jill Trewhella, Dr. Dina Schneidman, and members of the SASBDB repository are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing SAS validation methods. Team members from the labs of Dr. Juri Rappsilber, Dr. Alexander Leitner, Dr. Andrea Graziadei, and members of PRIDE database are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing crosslinking-MS validation methods. We are grateful to Dr. Shruthi Viswanath for discussions about uncertainty assessment of integrative structural models.

<u>Members of the wwPDB Integrative/Hybrid Methods Task Force provided recommendations and community support for</u> <u>the project.</u>