

# Integrative Structure Validation Report

March 27, 2025 - 10:12 AM PDT

*The following software was used in the production of this report:*

*Integrative Modeling Validation Version 2.0*

*Python-IHM Version 1.8*

*MolProbity Version 4.5.2*

PDB ID	9A3M
PDB-Dev ID	PDBDEV_00000207
Structure Title	Parathyroid hormone receptor type 1 in complex with a long-acting parathyroid hormone analog and arrestin 2 (6pwc-based template)
Structure Authors	Aydin, Y.; Bottke, T.; Lam, J.H.; Ernicke, S.; Fortmann, A.; Tretbar, M.; Zarzycka, B.; Gurevich, V.V.; Katritch, V.; Coin, I.
Deposited on	2023-03-24

*This is a PDB-IHM IM Structure Validation Report for a publicly released PDB-IHM entry.*

*We welcome your comments at [helpdesk@pdb-ihm.org](mailto:helpdesk@pdb-ihm.org)*



*A user guide is available at [https://pdb-ihm.org/validation\\_help.html](https://pdb-ihm.org/validation_help.html) with specific help available everywhere you see the  symbol.*

*List of references used to build this report is available [here](#).*

## Overall quality

*This validation report contains model quality assessments for all structures, data quality and fit to model assessments for SAS and crosslinking-MS datasets. Data quality and fit to model assessments for other datasets and model uncertainty are under development. Number of plots is limited to 256.*

Model Quality: MolProbity Analysis



### Ensemble information ?

*This entry consists of 0 distinct ensemble(s).*

### Summary ?

*This entry consists of 1 model(s). A total of 6 datasets were used to build this entry.*

### Representation ?

*This entry has 1 representation(s).*

ID	Model(s)	Entity ID	Molecule name	Chain(s) [auth]	Total residues	Rigid segments	Flexible segments	Model coverage/ Starting model coverage (%)	Scale
1	1	1	Arrestin2	A	357	-	1-357	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
		2	Long-acting parathyroid hormone analog	B	32	-	1-32	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic
		3	PTH1R	C [P]	504	-	1-504	100.00 / 100.00	Atomic

### Datasets used for modeling ?

There are 6 unique datasets used to build the models in this entry.

ID	Dataset type	Database name	Data access code
1	Crosslinking-MS data	Not available	Not available
2	Experimental model	PDB	<a href="#">6NBF</a>
3	Experimental model	PDB	<a href="#">6PWC</a>
4	Comparative model	Not available	Not available
5	Comparative model	Not available	Not available
6	De Novo model	Not available	Not available

## Methodology and software ?

This entry is a result of 1 distinct protocol(s).

Step number	Protocol ID	Method name	Method type	Method description	Number of computed models	Multi state modeling	Multi scale modeling
1	1	None	None	None	None	False	False

There is 1 software package reported in this entry.

ID	Software name	Software version	Software classification	Software location
1	<a href="#">ICM-Pro</a>	v.3.9.2c	Model building	<a href="https://www.molsoft.com/icm_pro.html">https://www.molsoft.com/icm_pro.html</a>

## Data quality ?

### Crosslinking-MS

At the moment, data validation is only available for crosslinking-MS data deposited as a fully *compliant* dataset in the [PRIDE Crosslinking](#) database. Correspondence between crosslinking-MS and entry entities is established using *pyHMMER*. Only residue pairs that passed the reported threshold are used for the analysis. The values in the report have to be interpreted in the context of the experiment (i.e. only a minor fraction of in-situ or in-vivo dataset can be used for modeling).

Crosslinking-MS dataset is not available in the [PRIDE Crosslinking](#) database.

## Model quality ?

For models with atomic structures, MolProbity analysis is performed. For models with coarse-grained or multi-scale structures, excluded volume analysis is performed.

### Standard geometry: bond outliers

There are 63 bond length outliers in this entry (0.86% of 7323 assessed bonds). A summary is provided below.

Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
C	477	TPO	OG1-P	9.76	1.52	1.72	1	1
C	478	SEP	O3P-P	4.83	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	493	SEP	O2P-P	4.83	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	467	SEP	O3P-P	4.83	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	463	SEP	O1P-P	4.82	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	493	SEP	O1P-P	4.81	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	467	SEP	O1P-P	4.81	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	478	SEP	O1P-P	4.80	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	467	SEP	O2P-P	4.80	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	493	SEP	O3P-P	4.79	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	478	SEP	O2P-P	4.78	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	463	SEP	O2P-P	4.78	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	463	SEP	O3P-P	4.77	1.51	1.61	1	1
C	463	SEP	OG-P	4.39	1.52	1.61	1	1
C	467	SEP	OG-P	4.38	1.52	1.61	1	1
C	416	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.38	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	478	SEP	OG-P	4.37	1.52	1.61	1	1
A	111	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.36	1.36	1.32	1	1
A	219	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.35	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	493	SEP	OG-P	4.35	1.52	1.61	1	1
A	159	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.34	1.33	1.37	1	1
A	295	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.34	1.33	1.37	1	1
A	210	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.32	1.36	1.32	1	1
A	159	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.32	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	88	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.32	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	117	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.32	1.36	1.32	1	1
B	32	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.31	1.36	1.32	1	1
A	353	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.31	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	199	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.31	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	114	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.30	1.33	1.37	1	1

Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed (Å)	Ideal (Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
C	16	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.30	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	114	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.30	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	199	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.30	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	281	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.30	1.36	1.32	1	1
B	9	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.30	1.36	1.32	1	1
A	111	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.30	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	16	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.29	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	394	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.29	1.36	1.32	1	1
A	198	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.29	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	281	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.29	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	416	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.29	1.33	1.37	1	1
B	32	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.29	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	134	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.28	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	197	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.28	1.36	1.32	1	1
A	30	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.27	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	476	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.27	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	197	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.26	1.33	1.37	1	1
A	30	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.26	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	88	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.26	1.33	1.37	1	1
A	219	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.26	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	190	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.26	1.36	1.32	1	1
A	210	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.25	1.33	1.37	1	1
A	198	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.25	1.33	1.37	1	1
A	353	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.24	1.33	1.37	1	1
B	9	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.24	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	134	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.24	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	476	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.23	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	117	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.23	1.33	1.37	1	1
B	25	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.22	1.36	1.32	1	1
C	394	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.22	1.33	1.37	1	1
B	25	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.22	1.33	1.37	1	1
C	190	HIS	CD2-NE2	4.22	1.33	1.37	1	1
A	295	HIS	CE1-NE2	4.21	1.36	1.32	1	1

Standard geometry: angle outliers ?

*There are no bond angle outliers.*

### Too-close contacts ?

The following all-atom clashscore is based on a MolProbity analysis. All-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The table below contains clashscores for all atomic models in this entry.

Model ID	Clash score	Number of clashes
1	1.54	22

There are 22 clashes. The table below contains the detailed list of all clashes based on a MolProbity analysis. Bad clashes are  $\geq 0.4$  Angstrom.

Atom 1	Atom 2	Clash(Å)	Model ID (Worst)	Models (Total)
C:165:TYR:C	C:165:TYR:CD1	0.67	1	1
C:165:TYR:CD1	C:166:THR:N	0.60	1	1
C:71:ALA:N	C:72:PRO:CD	0.58	1	1
C:62:TYR:N	C:63:PRO:HD2	0.57	1	1
A:224:THR:OG1	A:225:ASN:N	0.54	1	1
C:339:VAL:N	C:340:PRO:CD	0.53	1	1
C:305:LEU:N	C:306:PRO:CD	0.52	1	1
A:243:LEU:C	A:243:LEU:HD23	0.51	1	1
A:87:PHE:HA	A:88:PRO:C	0.49	1	1
C:62:TYR:HB3	C:63:PRO:HD3	0.49	1	1
A:203:LEU:HD22	A:349:PHE:CE1	0.48	1	1
C:321:ALA:O	C:322:ASN:C	0.46	1	1
C:388:MET:HB2	C:389:PRO:HD3	0.46	1	1
C:62:TYR:N	C:63:PRO:CD	0.45	1	1
C:123:ASP:C	C:123:ASP:OD1	0.45	1	1
C:1:ASP:C	C:1:ASP:OD1	0.44	1	1
C:490:LEU:HA	C:491:PRO:C	0.44	1	1
A:95:LYS:N	A:96:PRO:HD2	0.42	1	1
C:47:SER:O	C:48:THR:C	0.42	1	1
C:388:MET:N	C:389:PRO:CD	0.42	1	1
C:70:GLU:O	C:73:THR:HG22	0.41	1	1
C:466:SER:OG	C:467:SEP:N	0.40	1	1

### Torsion angles: Protein backbone ?

In the following table, Ramachandran outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed.

Model ID	Analysed	Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	874	820	45	9

There are 9 unique backbone outliers. Detailed list of outliers are tabulated below.

Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
C	149	THR	1
C	322	ASN	1
C	331	GLY	1
C	370	ARG	1
C	459	ARG	1
C	465	SER	1
C	470	TYR	1
C	483	GLY	1
C	485	ARG	1

### Torsion angles : Protein sidechains ?

In the following table, sidechain rotameric outliers are listed. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed.

Model ID	Analysed	Favored	Allowed	Outliers
1	766	719	35	12

There are 12 unique sidechain outliers. Detailed list of outliers are tabulated below.

Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
A	6	THR	1
A	18	LEU	1
A	26	ASP	1
A	58	THR	1
A	79	LEU	1
A	143	ASP	1
A	156	GLU	1
A	214	ILE	1
A	335	LEU	1
A	353	HIS	1
C	373	THR	1
C	479	VAL	1

### Fit of model to data used for modeling ?

Fit of model(s) to crosslinking-MS data

### Restraint types

*Restraint types are summarized in the table below. Restraints assigned "by-residue" are interpreted as between CA atoms. Restraints between coarse-grained beads are indicated as "coarse-grained". **Restraint group** represents a set of crosslinking restraints applied collectively in the modeling.*

There are 136 crosslinking restraints combined in 136 restraint groups.


<b>Linker</b>	<b>Residue 1</b>	<b>Atom 1</b>	<b>Residue 2</b>	<b>Atom 2</b>	<b>Restraint type</b>	<b>Distance, Å</b>	<b>Count</b>
BrEtY	ALA	CB	TYR	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ALA	CB	ASP	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ALA	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	3
BrEtY	ALA	CB	LEU	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ALA	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ALA	CB	HIS	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ALA	CB	ASN	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	CYS	CB	THR	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASP	CB	CYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	CYS	CB	PHE	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	CYS	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASP	CB	ASP	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASP	CB	PHE	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASP	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASP	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASP	CB	GLU	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLU	CB	GLU	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLU	CB	PHE	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLU	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLU	CB	LEU	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLU	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	PHE	CB	TYR	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASP	CB	GLY	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLY	CB	PHE	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLY	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLY	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	5
BrEtY	ASN	CB	GLY	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLY	CB	PRO	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ARG	CB	GLY	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	LEU	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	3

Linker	Residue 1	Atom 1	Residue 2	Atom 2	Restraint type	Distance, Å	Count
BrEtY	ARG	CB	LEU	CB	upper bound	10.2	8
BrEtY	LEU	CB	PRO	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	LYS	CB	MET	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASN	CB	ASP	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	ASN	CB	PHE	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASN	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	ASN	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	ASN	CB	LEU	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ARG	CB	ASN	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	LYS	CB	PRO	CB	upper bound	10.2	4
BrEtY	ARG	CB	PRO	CB	upper bound	10.2	8
BrEtY	GLN	CB	PHE	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	GLN	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ARG	CB	ASP	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ARG	CB	PHE	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	ARG	CB	LYS	CB	upper bound	10.2	5
BrEtY	ARG	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	4
BrEtY	ARG	CB	HIS	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ARG	CB	ARG	CB	upper bound	10.2	4
BrEtY	HIS	CB	SEP	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	LYS	CB	SEP	CB	upper bound	10.2	5
BrEtY	PRO	CB	SEP	CB	upper bound	10.2	3
BrEtY	LYS	CB	SER	CB	upper bound	10.2	7
BrEtY	PRO	CB	SER	CB	upper bound	10.2	3
BrEtY	HIS	CB	SER	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	SER	CB	SER	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ASN	CB	SEP	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	ARG	CB	SEP	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	ASP	CB	THR	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	PHE	CB	THR	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	LYS	CB	THR	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	LEU	CB	THR	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	THR	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	1
BrEtY	LYS	CB	TPO	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	ARG	CB	THR	CB	upper bound	10.2	1

Linker	Residue 1	Atom 1	Residue 2	Atom 2	Restraint type	Distance, Å	Count
BrEtY	LYS	CB	VAL	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	LYS	CB	TYR	CB	upper bound	10.2	4
BrEtY	ASN	CB	TYR	CB	upper bound	10.2	2
BrEtY	PRO	CB	TYR	CB	upper bound	10.2	2

#### Distograms of individual restraints

Restraints with identical thresholds are grouped into one plot. Only the best distance per restraint per model group/ensemble is plotted. Inter- and intramolecular (including self-links) restraints are also grouped into one plot. Distance for a restraint between coarse-grained beads is calculated as a minimal distance between shells; if beads intersect, the distance will be reported as 0.0. A bead with the highest available resolution for a given residue is used for the assessment.




#### Satisfaction of restraints

Satisfaction of restraints is calculated on a *restraint group* (a set of crosslinking restraints applied collectively in the modeling) level. Satisfaction of a restraint group depends on satisfaction of individual restraints in the group and the conditionality (all/any). A restraint group is considered satisfied, if the condition was met in at least one model of the model group/ensemble. The number of measured restraints can be smaller than the total number of restraint groups if crosslinks involve non-modeled residues. Only deposited models are used for validation right now.

State group	State	Model group	# of Deposited models/Total	Restraint group type	Satisfied (%)	Violated (%)	Count (Total=136)
1	1	1	1/1	All	45.16	54.84	124
				Heteromeric links/ Intermolecular	45.16	54.84	124

#### Per-model satisfaction rates in ensembles

Every point represents one model in a model group/ensemble. Where possible, boxplots with quartile marks are also plotted.



## Fit of model to data used for validation ?

Validation for this section is under development.

### Acknowledgments

The development of integrative model validation metrics, implementation of a model validation pipeline, and creation of a validation report for integrative structures are funded by NSF awards to the [PDB-IHM team](#) (DBI-1756248, DBI-2112966, DBI-2112967, DBI-2112968, and DBI-1756250) and awards from NSF, NIH, and DOE to the [RCSB PDB](#) (DBI-2321666, R01GM157729, and DE-SC0019749). The PDB-IHM team and members of the [Sali lab](#) contributed model validation metrics and software packages.

Dr. Jill Trewhella, Dr. Dina Schneidman, and members of the [SASBDB](#) repository are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing SAS validation methods. Team members from the labs of Dr. Juri Rappsilber, Dr. Alexander Leitner, Dr. Andrea Graziadei, and members of [PRIDE](#) database are acknowledged for their advice and support in implementing crosslinking-MS validation methods. We are grateful to Dr. Shruthi Viswanath for discussions about uncertainty assessment of integrative structural models.

Members of the [wwPDB Integrative/Hybrid Methods Task Force](#) provided recommendations and community support for the project.